

# **Optimization Algorithms**

Non-Linear Mathematical Programs & KKT

Marc Toussaint Technical University of Berlin Winter 2024/25

## **Problem Formulation**

General Non-linear Mathematical Program (NLP) (constrained optimization problem):

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^l$  find

 $\min_{x} f(x) \text{ s.t. } g(x) \le 0, \ h(x) = 0$ 

- We typically assume f, g, h to be differentiable or smooth.
- We can typically query  $f, \nabla f, g, \nabla g, h, \nabla h$ , optionally also  $\nabla^2 f$ .

• The lecture sometimes only mentions inequality constraints *g*, equality constraints are analogous/easier

Learning and Intelligent Systems Lab, TU Berlin

#### **General approaches**

- Roughly, try to somehow transform the constraint problem to
  - a series of unconstraint problems (log-barrier, AugLag, etc...)
  - a single but larger unconstraint problem (primal-dual)
  - a (series of) other constraint problems, hopefully simpler (dual, convex, SQP)



## Outline

- KKT conditions of optimality
- Core methods: log barrier, squared penalties, Aug. Lagrangian
- Introduce Lagrangian revisit KKT, log barrier, dual problem, primal-dual
- Further topics: Phase I, bound constraints, trust region, distributed optimization, simplex algorithm



## Solving by sketching

- Sketch the following problems and identify the solution:
  - 1-dimensional:  $\min x$  s.t.  $\sin(x) = 0, x^2/4 1 \le 0,$
  - 2-dimensional:  $\min x_1$  s.t.  $x^2 + y^2 1 \le 0$



 At the optimum there must be a balance between the cost gradient −∇f(x) pulling, and the gradient of the active constraints −∇g<sub>i</sub>(x) pushing back



[Our convention: "costs f(x) pull, constraints g(x), h(x) push back"]



Non-Linear Mathematical Programs & KKT - 6/8

 At the optimum there must be a balance between the cost gradient −∇f(x) pulling, and the gradient of the active constraints −∇g<sub>i</sub>(x) pushing back



Non-Linear Mathematical Programs & KKT - 7/8

- At the optimum there must be a balance between the cost gradient −∇f(x) pulling, and the gradient of the active constraints −∇g<sub>i</sub>(x) pushing back
- Theorem (Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions): For any NLP,

$$\begin{array}{ll} x \text{ optimal} & \Rightarrow & \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^l \text{ s.t.} \\ \nabla f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla g_i(x) + \sum_{j=1}^l \kappa_j \nabla h_j(x) = 0 & \text{(stationarity)} \\ & h(x) = 0 \ , \quad g(x) \leq 0 & \text{(primal feasibility)} \\ & \lambda \geq 0 & \text{(dual feasibility)} \\ & \forall_i : \ \lambda_i g_i(x) = 0 & \text{(complementarity)} \end{array}$$

[stationarity in compact notation:  $\nabla f(x) + \lambda^{T} \partial_{x} g(x) + \kappa^{T} \partial_{x} h(x) = 0$ ] Learning and Intelligent Systems Lab, TU Berlin Non-Linear Mathematical Programs & KKT - 7/8

- Stationarity (1st KKT): "Force balance" of the cost pulling and the active constraints pushing back
  - Existence of dual parameters  $\lambda, \kappa$  is equivalent to

 $\nabla f(x) \in \operatorname{span}\{\nabla g_{1..m}, \nabla h_{1..l}\}$ 

- The values of  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa \ \leftrightarrow \$ how strongly the constraints push



- Stationarity (1st KKT): "Force balance" of the cost pulling and the active constraints pushing back
  - Existence of dual parameters  $\lambda, \kappa$  is equivalent to

 $\nabla f(x) \in \operatorname{span}\{\nabla g_{1..m}, \nabla h_{1..l}\}$ 

- The values of  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa \ \ \leftrightarrow \ \$ how strongly the constraints push
- Complementarity (4th KKT): "Logic of constraint activity"
  - An inequality can only push at the boundary, where  $g_i = 0$
  - The formulation  $\lambda_i g_i = 0$  very elegantly describes this logic
  - The combinatorics of which constraint is active  $({\cal O}(2^m))$  is a core source of difficulty of constrained optimization
  - If you would apriori know which constraints are active ightarrow inequalities become equalities ightarrow easier